

Review Article

Osseointegration of Dental Implants in the Irradiated Jawbone: An Overview

Maheswaran T¹, Muthuvignesh J^{2*}, Sivaguru K³, Sonia Abraham⁴, Kalaivendhan K⁵, Vishnuvardhan⁶

¹Professor, Department of Oral Pathology, Adhiparasakthi Dental College and Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India

²Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, Adhiparasakthi Dental College and Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India

³Prosthodontist & Commandant, No. 1 Dental Unit Assam Rifles, Shillong, Meghalaya, India

⁴Associate Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, Adhiparasakthi Dental College and Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India

⁵Senior Dental Surgeon and Director, Vinayaga Hospital, Puducherry, India

⁶Assistant Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, KSR Institute of Dental Science and Research, Tamil Nadu, India

***Corresponding Author:** Muthuvignesh J

Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, Adhiparasakthi Dental College and Hospital, Tamil Nadu, India

Article History

Received: 02.12.2025

Accepted: 31.01.2026

Published: 05.02.2026

Abstract: Dental implant rehabilitation in patients with head and neck cancer who have undergone radiotherapy presents unique challenges. Ionizing radiation induces hypocellular, hypovascular, and hypoxic bone conditions that compromise osseointegration. However, contemporary evidence demonstrates that dental implants can achieve favorable survival rates in irradiated bones when appropriate protocols are followed. The critical factors influencing success include radiation dose, timing of implant placement, anatomical site selection, and meticulous surgical technique. This review synthesizes the current evidence to guide clinicians in optimizing implant outcomes in this challenging patient population.

Keywords: Dental Implant, Osseointegration, Irradiated Bone, Hyperbaric Oxygen.

1. INTRODUCTION

Patients with head and neck cancer frequently require radiotherapy as part of their oncologic treatment, which subsequently affects oral rehabilitation options [1]. Radiotherapy causes progressive vascular damage, resulting in hypocellular and hypovascular bones with diminished healing capacity [2]. Despite these challenges, dental implants have become essential for restoring the function and quality of life in irradiated patients [3]. Understanding the biological effects of radiation on bone and the factors influencing implant success is crucial for treatment planning and patient counseling in this population. Therefore, this review provides a concise summary of the factors influencing implant success in irradiated bone.

2. Radiobiological Effects on Bone and Osseointegration

Radiotherapy damages bones through multiple mechanisms that adversely affect osseointegration potential. Ionizing radiation causes DNA strand breaks in both malignant and normal cells, with rapidly dividing osteoblasts and bone marrow cells particularly vulnerable at doses of approximately 50 Gy, while osteocyte devitalization occurs at higher doses approaching 70 Gy [4]. The resulting vascular injury manifests as progressive endarteritis and decreased microcirculation, creating hypoxic, hypovascular, and hypocellular tissues that form the pathological basis for compromised healing [5].

Animal studies have demonstrated that osseointegration remains achievable in irradiated bones despite these alterations [6]. Research in canine models has shown that while bone remodeling activity is reduced and resorption may initially predominate over osteogenesis, the balance between these processes can be restored over time following radiation exposure [2]. These findings underscore that irradiated bone retains its healing capacity, albeit with reduced efficiency compared to non-irradiated tissue.

Copyright © 2026 The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Maheswaran T, Muthuvignesh J, Sivaguru K, Sonia Abraham, Kalaivendhan K, Vishnuvardhan (2026). Osseointegration of Dental Implants in the Irradiated Jawbone: An Overview. *South Asian Res J Oral Dent Sci*, 8(1), 14-16. 14

3. Implant Survival Rates and Prognostic Factors

3.1. Overall Survival

Systematic reviews consistently demonstrate that implant survival in irradiated patients, although lower than that in non-irradiated individuals, remains clinically acceptable. A comprehensive meta-analysis reported implant survival rates of 91.9% in irradiated patients compared to 97% in non-irradiated controls after approximately 40 months of follow-up [7]. Recent meta-analyses have confirmed statistically significant but clinically manageable differences between irradiated and non-irradiated sites [8].

3.2. Radiation Dose Effects

Radiation dose is a critical determinant of implant success. Studies have indicated that mean radiation doses exceeding 50 Gy are associated with reduced survival rates [8]. A retrospective analysis identified 38 Gy as a critical threshold, with no implant failures observed when the mean doses remained below this level, whereas doses above 50 Gy showed significantly decreased survival [6]. The risk of osteoradionecrosis similarly escalates with cumulative doses, particularly those exceeding 60 Gy [9]. Modern intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) techniques may improve outcomes by limiting high-dose exposure to small bone volumes [10].

3.3. Anatomical Considerations

Implant location significantly influences survival, with the mandible demonstrating superior outcomes compared to the maxilla in irradiated patients. Systematic reviews have reported mandibular implant survival rates of 93.3% versus 78.9% in the maxilla after pre-implantation radiotherapy [5]. This difference likely reflects the denser cortical bone and more robust vascular supply of the mandible [11]. Additionally, implants placed in native irradiated bone exhibit better survival than those placed in irradiated bone grafts.

3.4. Timing of Implant Placement

The optimal interval between radiotherapy completion and implant placement remains controversial. While some evidence suggests that waiting 12 months or longer reduces complications [12], other studies indicate no significant correlation between timing intervals and survival [1]. Conversely, some researchers have proposed that delayed placement may be detrimental because of progressive vascular deterioration over time. Primary implant placement (before radiotherapy) shows promising results, with survival rates comparable to or exceeding those of secondary placement, although post-implantation radiotherapy reduces success compared to non-irradiated controls [13, 14].

3.5. Osteoradionecrosis Risk

Osteoradionecrosis (ORN) is the most serious complication following implant placement in irradiated bone. A systematic review reported an ORN incidence of 3% following dental implant surgery in irradiated patients. Risk factors include high radiation doses, mandibular location over maxillary sites, implant failure or manipulation, and poor oral hygiene. Emerging evidence suggests that ORN development may reflect the accelerated clinical manifestation of pre-existing radiation-induced bone necrosis rather than a direct surgical complication [7]. Meticulous surgical techniques, appropriate implant site selection away from high-dose radiation fields, and careful postoperative management are essential preventive strategies.

4. Role of Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy

The use of adjunctive hyperbaric oxygen (HBO) therapy to improve implant outcomes in irradiated patients is controversial. While some early case series reported reduced failure rates with HBO treatment, systematic reviews and meta-analyses have found no significant evidence supporting the routine use of HBO for implant placement in irradiated bone [15, 16]. Current evidence does not justify the use of HBO as an essential adjunct for dental implant surgery in this population, although individual patient circumstances may warrant consideration [16].

5. Clinical Recommendations

Table 1: Clinical recommendations for dental implants in irradiated jawbone

Factor	Recommendation
Radiation Dose	Optimize implant placement when mean dose <38 Gy; exercise caution >50 Gy
Anatomical Site	Prefer mandible over maxilla; avoid grafted bone when possible
Timing	Minimum 12-month interval post-radiotherapy; consider primary placement in select cases
Surgical Protocol	Atraumatic technique, submerged healing, delayed loading
Patient Selection	Good cancer prognosis, adequate oral hygiene, informed consent
Follow-up	Regular monitoring for peri-implantitis and ORN signs

6. CONCLUSION

Contemporary evidence supports dental implant therapy as a viable rehabilitation option for patients with head and neck cancer following radiotherapy, with survival rates approaching 90% in appropriately selected cases. Success depends on careful consideration of the radiation dose, anatomical site selection, timing of placement, and meticulous surgical technique. While implant survival is reduced compared to non-irradiated patients, the functional and psychological benefits justify treatment in patients with favorable prognoses. Clinicians should provide comprehensive informed consent regarding the increased risks, particularly osteoradionecrosis, and maintain vigilant long-term follow-up to optimize outcomes.

REFERENCES

1. Zen Filho, E. V., Tolentino, E.deS., & Santos, P. S. (2016). Viability of dental implants in head and neck irradiated patients: A systematic review. *Head & neck, 38 Suppl 1*, E2229–E2240. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.24098>
2. Brogniez, V., Nyssen-Behets, C., Grégoire, V., Reychler, H., & Lengelé, B. (2002). Implant osseointegration in the irradiated mandible. A comparative study in dogs with a microradiographic and histologic assessment. *Clinical oral implants research, 13*(3), 234–242. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0501.2002.130302.x>
3. Mancha de la Plata, M., Gías, L. N., Díez, P. M., Muñoz-Guerra, M., González-García, R., Lee, G. Y., Castrejón-Castrejón, S., & Rodríguez-Campo, F. J. (2012). Osseointegrated implant rehabilitation of irradiated oral cancer patients. *Journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery: official journal of the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons, 70*(5), 1052–1063. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2011.03.032>
4. Ocaña, R. P., Rabelo, G. D., Sassi, L. M., Rodrigues, V. P., & Alves, F. A. (2017). Implant osseointegration in irradiated bone: an experimental study. *Journal of periodontal research, 52*(3), 505–511. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jre.12416>
5. Nooh N. (2013). Dental implant survival in irradiated oral cancer patients: a systematic review of the literature. *The International journal of oral & maxillofacial implants, 28*(5), 1233–1242. <https://doi.org/10.11607/jomi.3045>
6. Asikainen, P., Klemetti, E., Kotilainen, R., Vuillemin, T., Sutter, F., Voipio, H. M., & Kullaa, A. (1998). Osseointegration of dental implants in bone irradiated with 40, 50 or 60 Gy doses. An experimental study with beagle dogs. *Clinical oral implants research, 9*(1), 20–25. <https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0501.1998.090103.x>
7. Toneatti, D. J., Graf, R. R., Burkhard, J. P., & Schaller, B. (2021). Survival of dental implants and occurrence of osteoradionecrosis in irradiated head and neck cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical oral investigations, 25*(10), 5579–5593. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-021-04065-6>
8. Shokouhi, B., & Cerajewska, T. (2022). Radiotherapy and the survival of dental implants: a systematic review. *The British journal of oral & maxillofacial surgery, 60*(4), 422–429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjoms.2021.09.006>
9. Nabil, S., & Samman, N. (2011). Incidence and prevention of osteoradionecrosis after dental extraction in irradiated patients: a systematic review. *International journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery, 40*(3), 229–243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2010.10.005>
10. Studer, G., Studer, S. P., Zwahlen, R. A., Huguenin, P., Grätz, K. W., Lütolf, U. M., & Glanzmann, C. (2006). Osteoradionecrosis of the mandible: minimized risk profile following intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT). *Strahlentherapie und Onkologie: Organ der Deutschen Röntgengesellschaft ... [et al], 182*(5), 283–288. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00066-006-1477-0>
11. Pompa, G., Saccucci, M., Di Carlo, G., Brauner, E., Valentini, V., Di Carlo, S., Gentile, T., Guarino, G., & Polimeni, A. (2015). Survival of dental implants in patients with oral cancer treated by surgery and radiotherapy: a retrospective study. *BMC oral health, 15*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6831-15-5>
12. Di Carlo, S., De Angelis, F., Ciolfi, A., Quarato, A., Piccoli, L., Pompa, G., & Brauner, E. (2019). Timing for implant placement in patients treated with radiotherapy of head and neck. *La Clinica terapeutica, 170*(5), e345–e351. <https://doi.org/10.7417/CT.2019.2153>
13. In 't Veld, M., Schulten, E. A. J. M., & Leusink, F. K. J. (2021). Immediate dental implant placement and restoration in the edentulous mandible in head and neck cancer patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Current opinion in otolaryngology & head and neck surgery, 29*(2), 126–137. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MOO.0000000000000685>
14. Koudougou, C., Bertin, H., Lecaplain, B., Badran, Z., Longis, J., Corre, P., & Hoornaert, A. (2020). Postimplantation radiation therapy in head and neck cancer patients: Literature review. *Head & neck, 42*(4), 794–802. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hed.26065>
15. Granström, G., Tjellström, A., & Brånemark, P. I. (1999). Osseointegrated implants in irradiated bone: a case-controlled study using adjunctive hyperbaric oxygen therapy. *Journal of oral and maxillofacial surgery: official journal of the American Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons, 57*(5), 493–499. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0278-2391\(99\)90059-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0278-2391(99)90059-9)
16. Esposito, M., & Worthington, H. V. (2013). Interventions for replacing missing teeth: hyperbaric oxygen therapy for irradiated patients who require dental implants. *The Cochrane database of systematic reviews, 2013*(9), CD003603. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003603.pub3>