

Case Report

## “Profile Changes in a Patient with Decreased Interincisal Angle by Exraction of 1st Premolars” – A Case Report

Dr. Bhushan Jawale<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Lishoy Rodrigues<sup>2\*</sup>, Dr. Amit Nehete<sup>3</sup>, Dr. Anil Agrawal<sup>4</sup>, Dr. Veerendra Kerudi<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Bhushan Jawale | Professor, Dept of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Sinhgad Dental College and Hospital, Vadgaon Bk, Pune, Maharashtra, India

<sup>2</sup>Dr. Lishoy Rodrigues | PG Student, Dept of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Sinhgad Dental College and Hospital, Vadgaon Bk, Pune, Maharashtra, India

<sup>3</sup>Dr. Amit Nehete | Professor, Dept of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, MGV Dental College and Hospital, Nashik

<sup>4</sup>Dr. Anil Agrawal | Professor, Dept of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Aditya Dental College and Hospital, Beed

<sup>5</sup>Dr. Veerendra Kerudi | Professor and HOD, Dept of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, ACPM Dental College and Hospital, Dhule, Maharashtra, India

### \*Corresponding Author

Dr. Lishoy Rodrigues

### Article History

Received: 29.03.2021

Accepted: 09.05.2021

Published: 12.05.2021

**Abstract:** This case report evaluates the management of bimaxillary dentoalveolar protrusion in a female patient with a Class I malocclusion with Pre-adjusted Edgewise bracket system. The case required extraction of 1<sup>st</sup> premolars for correction of the proclined and forwardly placed upper and lower front teeth. Clinical and cephalometric evaluation revealed skeletal Class I malocclusion with anterior proclination and a convex facial profile, an average to vertical growth pattern, potentially incompetent lips, a posterior divergent face, increased overjet and average overbite. Following fixed orthodontic treatment by removal of 1<sup>st</sup> premolars in the upper and lower arch with retraction of anterior segment, a marked improvement in patient's smile, facial profile and occlusion was achieved and there was a remarkable increase in the patient's confidence and quality of life. The profile changes and treatment results were demonstrated with proper case selection and good patient cooperation with fixed appliance therapy.

**Keywords:** Pre-adjusted Edgewise bracket system, Bimaxillary dentoalveolar protrusion, Fixed Appliance Therapy, Class I malocclusion, Crowded dentition, Leptoprosopic facial form, Long face, Aesthetic Improvement, 1<sup>st</sup> Premolar Extraction, Orthodontic Camouflage, Therapeutic Extractions.

## INTRODUCTION

Orthodontic treatment can significantly alter and improve facial appearance in addition to correcting irregularity of the teeth. Class I malocclusion is the 2<sup>nd</sup> most prevalent malocclusion after Class II. [1,4,5] Over the last few decades, there has been an increase in the awareness about orthodontic treatment which has led to more and more adults demanding high quality treatment in the shortest possible time with increased efficiency and reduced costs. [2, 3, 7] There are many ways to treat Class I malocclusions, according to the characteristics associated with the problem, such as anteroposterior discrepancy, age, and patient compliance. [6] The indications for extractions in orthodontic practice have historically been controversial. [8] On the other hand, correction of Class I malocclusions in growing patients, with subsequent dental camouflage to mask the skeletal discrepancy, can involve either retraction by non extraction means simply by utilizing the available spaces or by extractions of premolars. [9, 10] Lack of crowding or cephalometric discrepancy in the mandibular arch is an indication of 2 premolar extractions. Fortunately, in some instances satisfactory results with an exceptional degree of correction can be achieved without extraction of permanent premolars [11, 12]. This case presents the correction of a Bimaxillary dentoalveolar protrusion with a Class I malocclusion in an adult female patient with severely proclined maxillary and mandibular anterior teeth merely simply by executing extraction of

**Copyright © 2021 The Author(s):** This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited.

maxillary and mandibular 1<sup>st</sup> premolars followed by fixed appliance therapy using Pre-adjusted Edgewise bracket system. The Extraction protocol shown in this case is indicative of how a convex unesthetic facial profile can be converted into an Orthognathic pleasant profile by routine fixed Orthodontic treatment with extraction of 4 premolars followed by retraction and closure of spaces.

## CASE REPORT

### Extra-Oral Examination

A 28 year old female patient presented with the chief complaint of forwardly placed upper and lower front teeth and excessive show of front teeth. On Extraoral examination, the patient had a convex facial profile, grossly symmetrical face on both sides with a retruded chin, potentially incompetent lips, shallow mentolabial sulcus and an acute Nasolabial Angle , a Leptoprosopic facial form, Dolicocephalic head form, average width of nose and mouth, a non- consonant flat smile arc and posterior divergence of face along with an average to vertical growth pattern. The patient had no relevant prenatal, natal, postnatal history, history of habits or a family history. On Smiling, there was excessive show of maxillary anterior teeth. The patient had a toothy smile and an unaesthetic facial profile. The patient was very dissatisfied with her smile.

### Pre Treatment Extra-Oral Photographs



### Intra-Oral Examination

Intraoral examination on frontal view shows presence of an increased overjet and an average overbite with lower dental midline shifted to the left by 1.5mm. On lateral view the patient shows the presence of Class II Division 1 incisor relationship and a Class I canine and molar relationship bilaterally. There is severely proclined and forwardly placed upper and lower anterior teeth. Extractions of all 4 1<sup>st</sup> premolars were done at the start of the treatment.

### Pre Treatment Intra-Oral Photographs



**Pre Treatment Cephalometric Readings**

PARAMETERS	PRE- TREATMENT
SNA	83°
SNB	80°
ANB	3°
WITS	2mm(AO ahead of BO)
MAX. LENGTH	102mm
MAN. LENGTH	96mm
IMPA	112°
NASOLABIAL ANGLE	89°
U1 TO NA DEGREES	42°
U1 TO NA mm	7mm
L1 TO NB DEGREES	34°
L1 TO NB mm	8mm
U1/L1 ANGLE	108°
FMA	29°
Y AXIS	76°

**Diagnosis**

This 28 year old female patient was diagnosed with a II malocclusion with a Class II Skeletal pattern, a slightly prognathic maxilla and mandible, an average to vertical growth pattern, increased overjet and average overbitem with a lower midline shift to the left by 1.5mm, proclined upper and lower incisors, protruded upper and lower lips, a retruded chin, moderately deep mentolabial sulcus, potentially incompetent lips and a convex facial profile with a posteriorly divergent face.

**List of Problems**

- a. Proclined maxillary and mandibular anterior teeth
- b. Convex facial profile
- c. Retruded chin
- d. Decreased Nasolabial angle
- e. Potentially Incompetant lips
- f. Increased lip strain
- g. Non coincident dental midlines

**Treatment Objectives**

- a. To correct proclined maxillary and mandibular anterior teeth

- b. To correct the posterior divergence of face
- c. To correct the retruded chin position
- d. To correct the decreased Nasolabial angle
- e. To correct the dental midlines
- f. To decrease the lip strain
- g. To achieve a pleasing smile and a pleasing profile

#### Treatment Plan

- Extraction of 14, 24, 34 and 44
- Fixed appliance therapy with Pre-adjusted Edgewise bracket system
- Initial leveling and alignment with 0.012”, 0.014”, 0.016”, 0.018”, 0.020” Niti archwires following sequence A of MBT
- Retraction and closure of spaces by use of 0.019” x 0.025” rectangular NiTi followed by 0.019” x 0.025” rectangular stainless steel wires.
- Final finishing and detailing with 0.014” round stainless steel wires
- Retention by means of Begg’s Wrap-around retainers along with lingual bonded retainers in the upper and lower arch

#### Treatment Progress

Complete bonding & banding in both maxillary and mandibular arch was done, using Pre-adjusted Edgewise bracket system. Initially a 0.012” NiTi wire was used which was followed by 0.014, 0.016”, 0.018”, 0.020” Niti archwires following sequence A of MBT. After 6 months of alignment and leveling NiTi round wires were discontinued. Retraction and closure of spaces was then started by use of 0.019” x 0.025” rectangular NiTi followed by 0.019” x 0.025” rectangular stainless steel wires. Reverse curve of spee in the lower arch and exaggerated curve of spee in the upper arch was incorporated in the heavy archwires to prevent the excessive bite deepening during retraction process and also to maintain the normal overjet and overbite. Group A anchorage was maintained in the upper and lower arch. Retraction and closure of spaces was done with the help of Elastomeric chains delivering light continuous forces and replaced after every 4 weeks due to force decay and reduction in its activity. Finally light settling elastics were given with rectangular steel wires in lower arch and 0.012” light NiTi wire in upper arch for settling, finishing, detailing and proper intercuspation. The increased overjet was corrected with an ideal occlusion at the end of the fixed appliance therapy. Also the profile of the patient improved significantly from being convex to now more Orthognathic with a pleasant and consonant smile arc on smiling. Also, the Nasolabial angle improved significantly at the end of treatment.

#### Post Treatment Cephalometric Readings

PARAMETERS	POST-TREATMENT
SNA	82°
SNB	80°
ANB	2°
WITS	1mm(AO ahead of BO)
MAX. LENGTH	101mm
MAN. LENGTH	99mm
IMPA	94°
NASOLABIAL ANGLE	96°
U1 TO NA DEGREES	28°
U1 TO NA mm	2mm
L1 TO NB DEGREES	26°
L1 TO NB mm	2mm
U1/L1 ANGLE	130°
FMA	28°
Y AXIS	74°

## DISCUSSION

A well-chosen individualized treatment plan, undertaken with sound biomechanical principles and appropriate control of orthodontic mechanics to execute the plan is the surest way to achieve predictable results with minimal side effects. Treatment of a Class I malocclusion with extractions of all 1st premolars is challenging. Class I malocclusion with Bimaxillary Dentoalveolar protrusion might have any number of a combination of the skeletal and dental components. Hence, identifying and understanding the etiology and expression of Class I malocclusion and identifying

differential diagnosis is helpful for its correction. The patient's chief complaint was forwardly placed upper and lower front teeth with excessive show of front teeth. The case was of a clear bimaxillary dentoalveolar protrusion with severely proclined upper and lower anterior dentition. The selection of orthodontic fixed appliances is dependent upon several factors which can be categorized into patient factors, such as age and compliance, and clinical factors, such as preference/familiarity and laboratory facilities. In this case we choose to use Pre-adjusted Edgewise bracket system. The execution of all 1st premolar extraction followed by Fixed appliance therapy appropriately resulted in an improvement in the patient's convex profile in this case. The most important point to be highlighted here is the decision to extract the premolars. After analysing the case thoroughly and reading all pretreatment cephalometric parameters along with evaluating the patients profile clinically, a decision was made of extracting the 1st premolars. Proximal stripping with retraction and closure of spaces could not be executed in this case as this would not address all the patient problems at the end of the treatment. The patient had excessive proclination of maxillary and mandibular anterior teeth. Also the patient had a convex profile with an acute nasolabial angle and a severely decreased Inter-incisal angle. All these findings made it essentially imperative to extract all 1st premolars. This case could not be managed by non-extraction or proximal stripping. Extractions also very efficiently improved the patients profile, changing it from being convex to more orthognathic at the end of the treatment. There was improvement in occlusion, smile arc, profile and position of chin. Successful results were obtained after the fixed Pre-adjusted Edgewise appliance therapy within a stipulated period of time. The overall treatment time was 18 months. After this active treatment phase, the profile of this 28 year old female patient improved significantly as seen in the post treatment Extra oral photographs. Removable Begg's retainers were then delivered to the patient along with fixed lingual bonded retainers in upper and lower arch.

### Post Treatment Extra-Oral Photographs



### Post Treatment Extra-Oral Photographs



### Comparison of Pre and Post Treatment Cephalometric Readings

PARAMETERS	PRE- TREATMENT	POST-TREATMENT
SNA	83°	82°
SNB	80°	80°
ANB	3°	2°
WITS	2mm(AO ahead of BO)	1mm(AO ahead of BO)
MAX. LENGTH	102mm	101mm
MAN. LENGTH	96mm	99mm
IMPA	112°	94°
NASOLABIAL ANGLE	89°	96°
U1 TO NA DEGREES	42°	28°
U1 TO NA mm	7mm	2mm
L1 TO NB DEGREES	34°	26°
L1 TO NB mm	8mm	2mm
U1/L1 ANGLE	108°	130°
FMA	29°	28°
Y AXIS	76°	74°

### Profile Changes Pre and Post Treatment



## CONCLUSION

This case report shows how Bimaxillary Dentoalveolar Protrusion case can be managed with Extraction of 4 premolars by means of appropriate use of Pre-adjusted Edgewise bracket system and efficient conservation of anchorage at the same time. The planned goals set in the pretreatment plan were successfully attained. Good intercuspation of the teeth was achieved with a Class I molar, incisor and canine relationship. Treatment of the proclined and forwardly placed upper and lower anterior teeth included the retraction and retroclination of maxillary and mandibular incisors with a resultant decrease in soft tissue procumbency and facial convexity. The profile changed from convex to orthognathic. The maxillary and mandibular teeth were found to be esthetically satisfactory in the line of occlusion. Patient had an improved smile and profile. The correction of the malocclusion was achieved, with a significant improvement in the patient aesthetics and self-esteem. The patient was very satisfied with the result of the treatment.

## REFERENCES

1. Bhushan Jawale, D., Rodrigues, L., Keluskar, K. M., Jatti, R., Belludi, A., & Hattarki, R. (2020). Treatment of a growing male having a recessive mandible with removable myofunctional appliance therapy followed by fixed orthodontic treatment: A.
2. Jawale, B., Rodrigues, L., Garde, J. B., Belludi, A., Patil, A., & Palande, P. (2020). Interdisciplinary collaboration of orthodontics and oral and maxillofacial surgery for the correction of severe class III skeletal pattern in an adult male with an hapsburg jaw-A case report on surgical orthodontics. *IP Indian Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Research*, 6(3), 149-156.
3. Lishoy, R., Priyal, R., Jamenis, S. C., Jawale, B., & Mahajan, N. (2020). A survey to assess the knowledge and attitude of adults from the age group of 18 to 35 Years towards comprehensive orthodontic treatment-A questionnaire based study on adult orthodontics. *IP Indian Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Research*, 6(4), 255-263.

4. Bhushan Jawale, D., Rodrigues, L., Naik, V., Kerudi, V., Chaudhary, A., & Nehete, A. (2020). Management of a non growing adult borderline extraction case of a patient having a Class II Division 1 malocclusion by non extraction protocol for aesthetic improvement: A case report on adult orthodontics.
5. Jawale, B., Lishoy, R., Belludi, A., Pharande, A., Hattarki, R., & Prasad, L. (2020). Correction of bimaxillary dentoalveolar protrusion in a growing male with class I malocclusion by extraction of premolars and profile improvement using conventional fixed orthodontic treatment-A case report on orthodontic camouflage. *IP Indian Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Research*, 6(3), 157-162.
6. Rodrigues, L., Jawale, B., Kadam, A., & Rajani, P. (2020). Single phase correction of tongue thrust habit alongside fixed orthodontic treatment for closure of spaced dentition and midline diastema in a male patient with class I malocclusion without need for a two phase appliance therapy-A case report. *IP Indian Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Research*, 6(3), 163-169.
7. Rodrigues, L., Jawale, B., Jamenis, S., & Sadhunavar, T. (2020). Application of magnets in orthodontics–A review. *IP Journal of Surgery and Allied Sciences*, 2(3), 50-57.
8. Rodrigues, L., Jamenis, S. C., Jawale, B., Patil, R., & Sadhunavar, T. (2020). An assessment of knowledge and application of lingual orthodontics among orthodontists in their routine clinical practice. *IP Journal of Surgery and Allied Sciences*, 2(3), 89-94.
9. Rodrigues, L., Jamenis, S. C., Jawale, B., Patil, S., & Garcha, V. (2021). A questionnaire study to assess and evaluate the common gingival problems faced by patients undergoing fixed orthodontic treatment. *IP International Journal of Maxillofacial Imaging*, 6(4), 101-107.
10. Jawale, B., Rodrigues, L., Shinde, K., Kangane, S., Hattarki, R., & Mhatre, S. (2020). Rhinoplasty, septoplasty and genioplasty with fixed orthodontic mechanotherapy for non-surgical correction of a patient with “Long face syndrome” Having a class III malocclusion on a class II skeletal jaw base-A case report. *IP Indian Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Research*, 6(3), 170-176.
11. Jawale, B., Rodrigues, L., Keluskar, K. M., Patil, S., Belludi, A., & Patil, A. (2020). Forsus fixed functional appliance therapy for dentoalveolar and profile correction-A case report. *IP Indian Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Research*, 6(4), 264-270.
12. Rashi, L., Priyal, R., Marisca, P., & Aljeeta, K. An assessment of common concerns of 2nd year post graduate students pursuing MDS In orthodontics and dentofacial orthopedics, due to the COVID-19 lockdown.

---

**Citation:** Bhushan Jawale *et al* (2021). “Profile Changes in a Patient with Decreased Interincisal Angle by Extraction of 1st Premolars” – A Case Report. *South Asian Res J Oral Dent Sci*, 3(3), 30-36.